

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

SPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
RS ID#: 0250671 DATE: <u>10/14/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>09:45AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:05AM</u>			
CILITY NAME: CONTINENTAL FLORIDA MAT. WEST PLANT			
CILITY LOCATION: 2000 NW 110TH AVE			
MIAMI 33172-			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JACK RAIMONDI PHONE: (954)858-0788			
NTACT NAME: PHONE:			
TITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/29/2007 / 7/29/2012 (affactive data) (and data)			
(effective date) (end date)			
RT I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
RT II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check 🗹 appropriate box(es))			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) tack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) tack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?□Yes ☒ No 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) tack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
tack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
tack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
tack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
tack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
tack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
tack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
	le 🗌		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
H (* 15 ') (D 1 (2 20(22(4))) E A (1)				
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
 Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 				
	ards which shall include one or more of the fol	lowing.		
 a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ∑Yes No 				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-su				
emissions?		⊠Yes □ No		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \Bar{Y}es \Bar{N}o				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of win	d breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of			
particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	emissions at the drop point to the truck?	ĭ Yes ☐ No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - I	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?	1 .0	☐Yes ⊠ No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without repc) replacement of existing equipment substantially different		□Yes ⊠ No		
		□Vos ⊠No		
recent notification form? \square Yes \square No d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?	·	□Yes □ No		
1 0		_		
MARUFUL MALIK	10/14/2009			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_		
•	•			
	10/2010			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_		
COMMENTS: On Occher 14, 2000 I vigited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection. On site I met Mr. Alberto				

COMMENTS: On Ocober 14, 2009 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection. On site I met Mr.Alberto Prieto, the plant manager of the facility. The visible emissions test was conducted on May 07, 2009. Facility produces 70 yards of concrete on the average per day . No loading was done during the time of my inspection. All the sprinklers were on. Housekeeping was good.